Parcels Advisory Board Meeting

Thursday, October 25, 2018

1:56 PM

**Meeting Date:** 10/25/2018 2:00 PM

**Location:** ACCD - Calvin Coolidge

**Link to Outlook Item:** [*click here*](onenote:outlook?folder=Calendar&entryid=00000000E8599B7A7C39044AAD9C2BA62F70BBC20700C1B8D45C67BEB54DB0C78EDF931CF09000000000010D0000C1B8D45C67BEB54DB0C78EDF931CF09000002D5C951A0000)
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[Pete Fellows,](mailto:pfellows@trorc.org)

['Randall Otis'](mailto:rotis@dubois-king.com)

[Coster, Billy](mailto:Billy.Coster@vermont.gov)

[Fox, David N](mailto:David.N.Fox@vermont.gov)

[Hemmerick, Jacob](mailto:Jacob.Hemmerick@vermont.gov)

[Lewis, Matthew](mailto:Matthew.Lewis@vermont.gov)

[Croft, Johnathan](mailto:Johnathan.Croft@vermont.gov)

[Cochran, Chris](mailto:Chris.Cochran@vermont.gov)

[Adams, John E.](mailto:John.E.Adams@vermont.gov)

[Jarvis, Daniel](mailto:Daniel.Jarvis@vermont.gov)

# Notes

# 

Meeting opened by John Adams in the absence of Rob White (on vacation).

Announcements- none

Update from Matt Lewis: Data incoming from vendors for year 2 towns, some data sent back for minor revisions. Vendors are onboard with updated timeline which allows them to keep working through bid process. SPAN formatting and intersection tables are still holding up some submissions.

Tim Terway: provided updates on status of outreach to stakeholder for parcel repository. See presentation for details. Meeting with Town Clerks is scheduled, this is a major stakeholder group that is likely to have concerns about the project.

Open discussion of the proposed survey repository:

What is the trigger that requires the submission of a survey to the repository?

Could there be an additional requirement for owners? Does this already fit in the existing workflow of clerks - might be information they are already capturing but not in a standardized way. How current is town clerk's record of licensed surveyors- could this auto populate in the clerks submission?

Legislative outline: discussion of key points.

-B. Costner- highlight proposed changes to legislation, case argument. Current status of parcel project, don't want to lose benefit of public dollars spent. Success in charge of digitizing data.

Cost benefit analysis. Not overburdening towns with new requirements - sensitivity to Town concerns, possible funding options. Be explicit about stakeholder outreach. Value proposition, potential uses from other departments. Tie to other legislative priorities: per parcel fee for water quality initiative stalled without this data.

Existing statue for parcel program, need to address hand off from Vtrans to VCGI

Fee changes would have to come from tax department.

Committees of Jurisdiction are unknow, multiple options. Currently in transportation title.

Legislative stewards or champions: waiting until after elections and committee assignments. Topic for next meeting. Gov Operations, Energy and Technology

Key legislator that surveyors work with? Talk with Paul Hannan.

J. Croft : what is the impact of requiring boundary line adjustment for towns that don't have subdivision?

Map request- non subdivision towns, estimate of "not happening" surveys.

Emphasize distinction between data and line work for parcels.
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